

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,734	07/31/2003	Hidetoshi Nishiyama	520.42995X00	9346
20457	7590 03/22/2006	EXAMINER		
	LI, TERRY, STOUT & K	PHAM, HOA Q		
1300 NORTE SUITE 1800	1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			PAPER NUMBER
	I, VA 22209-3873		2877	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/630,734	NISHIYAMA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IS IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•—	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-14:					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:							

Art Unit: 2877

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-11, 18, 24 and 27, drawn to a system for monitoring foreign matter comprises a plurality of process apparatuses, a production management system; a plurality of foreign matter monitors, each having an optical head, an A/D converter, and a base system having a control unit, a buffer memory, a database and an image processing unit, classified in class 356, subclass 237.2.
  - II. Claims 12-13, 16, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 28, drawn to a process processing apparatus comprises a platform, plural process chambers, a relay room, a cassette room and a small clean environment room, classified in class 118, subclass 670.
  - III. Claims 14-15, 17, 20, 22, 26 and 29, drawn to a process processing apparatus group comprises a plurality of process processing apparatuses, each includes a cassette room, a process room, a small clean room; the process processing apparatuses are placed around a travel path of an automated guide vehicle, a foreign matter monitoring optical head for detecting foreign matter, classified in class 356, subclass 237.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are

Art Unit: 2877

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, both inventions are patentably distinguished by their mutually exclusive details as set out above.

3. Inventions (II, III) and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the omissions of details of the claimed subcombination ( $B_{sp}$ ) in the combination ( $AB_{br}$ ) is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombination ( $B_{sp}$ ). The subcombination has separate utility such as interferometer, spectrophometer, ellipsometer, etc....

Applicant is also noted that if claims 12 and 14 are rejected, invention I will be rejoined.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2877

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2426. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM to 6 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa Q. Pham
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2877

ΗP

March 17, 2006